Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

November 29, 2018

The Honorable Betsy DeVos Secretary of Education U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20202

Dear Secretary DeVos:

We are writing to express our deep concern with the Department of Education's new proposed rule for Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972 (Title IX). This is a blatant attempt to silence survivors of sexual harassment and violence and force them back into the shadows. A year after the Me Too movement went viral, we will not tolerate a system that shames and blames victims. The epidemic of sexual harassment and violence in our K-12 schools and on college campuses continues to be a threat to students' rights to pursue their education. We cannot condone proposals that will result in fewer survivors coming forward to report their assaults and make it harder for those who do to seek justice. You must do more, not less, to ensure the safety of students across the country, including by immediately withdrawing these proposed policy changes.

In September 2017, during the comment period on deregulation, you received over 16,000 formal comments which showed overwhelming support for the Obama Administration's 2011 and 2014 Title IX guidance documents. Despite this support, you chose to rescind these critical civil rights documents, and released wholly inadequate interim guidance that has left schools and survivors in limbo for over a year. Today's actions make clear that the Department is no longer committed to ensuring vital protections for victims of sexual harassment and violence in our K-12 schools and on college campuses. In fact, the only individuals this proposal will protect are the perpetrators of this misconduct and the institutions themselves, making schools havens for misconduct instead of a safe place for all students to learn.

Since 1972, schools have had a legal responsibility to protect students from sex discrimination, and this proposed rule will allow them to shirk that responsibility. Today's draft regulation includes a narrow definition of sexual harassment that would only require schools to investigate cases that are so severe that they "deny" a student equal access to education. This means that schools will not only be able to ignore thousands of victims of sexual harassment, but students will also have to have their education severely disrupted before they can even file a complaint. Additionally, your proposal only requires schools to investigate complaints if a survivor reports to an individual who has the "authority to institute corrective measures". This is just another hurdle being put in front of survivors who are brave enough to come forward.

We are also stunned by the removal of protections for students who face sexual harassment and violence in locations adjacent to or nearby college campuses, such as in an off-campus apartment or at an off-campus party, which the Department of Education itself has admitted constitutes 41 percent

of all campus sexual assaults. Additionally, many students, particularly those in elementary and secondary education, are harassed online and then have to see their perpetrators every day at school. In grades 7-12 alone, 30 percent of students experience this kind of harassment and would no longer be protected under your new rule.

Further, we strongly object to coercing schools into using the "clear and convincing" standard of evidence instead of the "preponderance of the evidence" standard as the standard of proof for student complaints of harassment cases. The preponderance of the evidence standard is the appropriate standard to use for cases involving sexual harassment and violence because it recognizes that both parties have an equal stake in the outcome and an equal right to go to school. It is also the same standard that is used in U.S. civil lawsuits and civil rights proceedings. Allowing schools to use the clear and convincing standard only for complaints involving sexual harassment and violence perpetuates the myth that women and girls "cry rape". It does so by imposing discriminatory procedural hurdles for survivors in campus sexual harassment cases that do not exist for other serious misconduct, such as physical assault or arson. Since Title IX is a civil rights law created to ensure all students have equal access to education, schools should employ the standard of proof that is required in all civil rights proceedings.

Over the last year, the Me Too movement has made it clear that we must take greater steps forward to ensure the safety of students so they can succeed in all aspects of our society. Title IX is critical to this goal by ensuring that all students have equal access to an education free from sex discrimination, including sexual harassment and violence. The changes you have proposed would severely undermine current protections for students across this county and undo decades of progress we have made in combating this systemic issue. For these reasons, we strongly urge you to immediately abandon this misguided proposal and arrange meetings with a broad range of survivors and survivor advocacy organizations to develop meaningful guidance on Title IX that protects all students. We request that you respond within two weeks of receipt of this letter.

Jackie Speier

Policy and Communications Chair Democratic Women's Working Group

Brenda L. Lawrence

Vice-Chair

Democratic Women's Working Group

Sincerely,

Lois Frankel

Chair

Democratic Women's Working Group

Ann McLane Kuster Member of Congress

Alma S. Adams Member of Congress Member of Congress Suzanne Bonamici Member of Congress Member of Congress Salud O. Carbajal Julia Brownley Member of Congress Member of Congress Kathy Castor Judy Chu Member of Congress Member of Congress David N. Cicilline vette D. Clarke Member of Congress Member of Congress Emanuel Cleaver II Steve Cohen Member of Congress Member of Congress Jim Cooper Member of Congress Member of Congress Susan Davis Peter DeFazio

Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Diana DeGette zan DelBene Member of Congress Member of Congress Mark DeSaulnier Debbie Dingell Member of Congress Member of Congress Anna G. Eshoo Adriano Espaillat Member of Congress Member of Congress Tulsi Gabbard Member of Congress Member of Congress John Garamendi Member of Congress Member of Congress Raúl M. Grijalva Colleen Hanabusa Member of Congress Member of Congress

Denny Heck

Member of Congress

Alcee L. Hastings

Member of Congress

Pramila Jayapal Member of Congress Member of Congress Marcy Kaptur Henry C. "Hank" Johnson Member of Congress Member of Congress Rick Larsen Al Lawson Jr. Member of Congress Member of Congress cowa Alan Lowenthal Member of Congress Member of Congress Carolyn B. Maloney Member of Congress Member of Congress Doris Matsui Betty McCollum Member of Congress Member of Congress

Jerry McNerney

Member of Congress

James P. McGovern

Member of Congress

	Grace Meng Member of Congress	Gwen Moore Member of Congress
	Seth Moulton Member of Congress	Jerrold Nadler Member of Congress
l	Grace F. Napolitano Member of Congress	Eleanor Holmes Norton Member of Congress
	Bill Pascrell Jr. Member of Congress	Chetlie Pingree Member of Congress
	Mark Pocan Member of Congress	Jamie Raskin Member of Congress
	Kathleen M. Rice Member of Congress	Jacky Rosen Member of Congress
	Lucille Roybal-Allard Member of Congress	Tim Ryan Member of Congress
	Linda T. Sánchez Member of Congress	Jan Schakowsky Member of Congress

Adam Schiff
Member of Congress

Albio Sires
Member of Congress

Darren Soto Member of Congress

Paul Tonko Member of Congress

Juan Vargas Member of Congress

Peter J. Visolosky Member of Congress

Peter Welch Member of Congress

John Yarmuth Member of Congress Carol Shea-Porter
Carol Shea-Porter
Member of Congress

Adam Smith Member of Congress

Dina Titus Member of Congress

Norma Torres Member of Congress

Nydia M. Velázquez Member of Congress

Debbie Wasserman Schultz Member of Congress

Frederica S. Wilson Member of Congress